

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATI	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/640,168	08/15/2000	Hugh J. McLarty	09623-027700US	5174
20350	7590 08/1	2003		
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K	
SAN FRAN	CISCO, CA 9411	3834	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2675	//
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	.,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/640,168	MACLARTY ET AL
_	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2675
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]	
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
<ul> <li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disann	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		
10. Other:	(5)(1 10 1440)1 apol 110(3).	2/16/
TO Outer	STEVI	EN SARAS
	SUPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINER BY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to the 112 1st paragraph rejection o claims 1 and 19, the 112 remection will be withdrawn. The prior art Lee discloses a first and second memories in Fig. 6, items 614 and 616, and a video driver for comparing the memories in col. 6, lines 39-65. Lee discloses a second monitor smaller than the first in Fig. 1, which is connected to a shared peropheral bus as shown in Fig. 1, item 14, and in col. 6, lines 19-30. The prior art Craig has been added to disclose a compression unit. An MPEG encoder can obviously be added to the computer system of Lee as most systems, as known in the art, have MPEG capability, thus it is obvious to add the MPEG encoder. All of the limitations are disclosed by Lee or Lee combined with Grossman et all and Craig. The rejection has been maintained..